**Mini project #1**

**Group Member:** Chaoran Li, Wenting Wang

**Contribution of each member:**

Firstly, we discussed the mathematical models and code details together. Then, we divided the project into two part and finished our respective work. Wenting Wang mainly worked on Q2 while Chaoran Li worked on Q1. Then, we merged our code and solution into one report.

Each member makes contribution to each sub task of this project and combines all to finish this project, as the details shown in table 1.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Question1 | Question2 |
| Chaoran li | 30% | 70% |
| Wenting wang | 70% | 30% |

Table 1: Member contribution table

**Question1:**

**(a) Explain how you will compute the mean squared error of an estimator using Monte Carlo simulation.**

**Solution:**

1) (Let's start from one single trial.) we will firstly generate a population X~Uniform(0, Ɵ) with a sample size of n;

2) We will compute the based on the estimator and the population X1, X2, …, Xn;

3) Since Ɵ is known, we can get easily;

4) Repeat 1) to 3) multiple times;

5) Then, we have .

**(b) For a given combination of (n, θ), compute the mean squared errors of both θ1 and θ2 using Monte Carlo simulation with N = 1000 replications. Be sure to compute both estimates from the same data.**

**Solution:**

R code:

Graphical user interface, text, application

Description automatically generated

Result:



Hence, for given N = 1000, n = 30 and Ɵ = 1, I get MSEMLE = 0.00220509 and MSEMME = 0.01101800.

**(c) Repeat (b) for the remaining combinations of (n,θ). Summarize your results graphically.**

**Solution:**

R code:

Text, application

Description automatically generated

Firstly, we will get two matrixes. One for MLE and one for MME. Then, we will control factors here. We would change only one factor in each diagram.

If we keep the value of Ɵ and change n.

R code:

Text, letter

Description automatically generated

Diagrams:

Chart, histogram

Description automatically generatedChart

Description automatically generated

Chart, histogram

Description automatically generatedChart, histogram

Description automatically generated

If we keep the value of n and change Ɵ.

R code:

Text, letter

Description automatically generated

Diagrams:

Chart, line chart

Description automatically generatedChart, line chart

Description automatically generated

Chart, line chart

Description automatically generatedChart, line chart

Description automatically generated

Chart, line chart

Description automatically generatedChart, line chart

Description automatically generated

**(d) Based on (c), which estimator is better? Does the answer depend on n or θ? Explain. Provide justification for all your conclusions.**

**Solution:**

Chart, histogram
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Description automatically generated

Chart, histogram

Description automatically generatedChart, histogram

Description automatically generated

While Ɵ stays and n increases, the MSEs of both MLE and MME decrease. When sample size n reach 30 which can be considered as large enough, the MSEs are close to 0. In most time, MLE < MME which means MLE is better than MME.

Chart, line chart
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While n stays and Ɵ increases, the MSEs of both MLE and MME increase. In most time, MLE < MME which means MLE is better than MME. Since all populations share the same distribution, which is uniform, we can do further research about Ɵ and MSE.

R code:

Text, letter

Description automatically generated

Diagrams:

Chart
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Description automatically generated
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Description automatically generated
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All six diagrams show almost horizontal line which means: MSE ∝ Ɵ2.

In short, MLE estimator is better than MME estimator and this relationship does not rely on n or Ɵ. However, MSE itself is related to n and Ɵ. When n increases, MSE will decreases. When Ɵ increases, MSE will increases. To be more precise, we have MSE ∝ Ɵ2.

**Question2:**

**(a) Derive an expression for maximum likelihood estimator of θ.**

**Solution:**

**Step 1:**

Then we can get )

=

=
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**Step 2:** Differentiate with respect , and set it to 0.

=

Then we can get

**(b) Suppose n = 5 and the sample values are x1 = 21.72, x2 = 14.65, x3 = 50.42, x4 = 28.78, x5 = 11.23. Use the expression in (a) to provide the maximum likelihood estimate for θ based on these data.**

**Solution:**

Take the values into we can get:

**(c) Even though we know the maximum likelihood estimate from (b), use the data in (b) to obtain the estimate by numerically maximizing the log-likelihood function using optim function in R. Do your answers match?**

**Solution:**

The R code is as following:

Graphical user interface, text, application

Description automatically generated

The result is:

Graphical user interface, text, application

Description automatically generated

The numerically estimated result 0.3233885 matches the result we get in question(b).

**(d) Use the output of numerical maximization in (c) to provide an approximate standard error of the maximum likelihood estimate and an approximate 95% confidence interval for θ. Are these approximations going to be good? Justify your answer.**

**Solution:**

In order to find the approximate 95% confidence interval for , we can use the following formula:

CI: []

And the hessian function we can get from (C)

Then we can use R (below) to get the approximate 95% confidence interval for is

**[0.03993389, 0.60684301]**

This means that if we repeat a large number of times to estimate from randomly selected samples from the population, then the true estimate value lies in the interval [0.03993389, 0.60684301] 95% of the times.

However, this population is non-normal. So, we use the Central Limit Theory to estimate with , but the sample size is just 5 which is not large. Thus, the confidence interval may be not very accurate.

The R code is:

A screenshot of a cell phone
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The result is:

